

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/625,591	07/24/2003	Takuya Horiguchi	1403-0253P	7954
2292	7590 02/17/2006		EXAMINER	
	EWART KOLASCH	MULCAHY, PETER D		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1713	

DATE MAILED: 02/17/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
	10/625,591	HORIGUCHI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Peter D. Mulcahy	1713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ad will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO aute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	December 2005.		
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	·	•	
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.I	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the least or the second	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/24/03.</li> </ol>	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/625,591

Art Unit: 1713

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tahara et al. US 2002/0026003 in view of Corvasce et al. US 5,672,639.
- 4. The rejection set forth in the action mailed 9/6/05 is deemed proper and is herein repeated.

## Response to Arguments

Applicants point out that Tahara et al. identifies the Moh's hardness of the particles as being 6.5 and fails to exemplify particles having a Moh's hardness of greater than 3. This is argued as being distinct from the claimed subject matter wherein the Moh's hardness of the particles is limited to "at least 5" and "6 to 8" in claim 9. This is not persuasive. There is a clear overlap in the scope of the claims relative to the prior art. There is sufficient suggestion in the patent i.e., "not more than 6.5" to motivate one

Application/Control Number: 10/625,591 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

of ordinary skill to use particles having hardness of greater than 5 and/or 6. As such the claims are prima facie obvious.

The allegation of unexpected results and the relevant portions of the specification have been fully considered. There is no showing which compares the closest prior art with the scope of the claimed invention. The showing in the specification is limited to the emery specie of particle. There is no comparison of particles having differing Moh's hardness. Further, the claims are not limited to particles having a greater hardness than that of the glass fibers. This is identified in the remarks as being the inventive feature of the invention. There is no showing which supports this allegation.

#### Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1713

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy whose telephone number is 571-272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1713

pdm 2/16/06